

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,767	06/25/2002	Thomas Maetzke	PH/5-31141A	2727
26748 75	590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER	
SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, INC.			LIU, HONG	
PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPARTMENT 410 SWING ROAD GREENSBORO, NC 27409			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/070,767	MAETZKE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Hong Liu Dears on the cover sheet with the	1624 correspondence address			
Period for	Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If No - Fails Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-13 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	ut(s)					
	the of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/10/02</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/070,767

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-13 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I is acknowledged.

Claims 7-13 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Switzerland on September 7, 1999. It is noted, however, that the priority document does not provide adequate support for the present application. There is no disclosure in the priority document for Q10 of the compounds of formula I. Therefore, the present application shall not be entitled to the priority date of September 7, 1999.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Muhlebach et al. (WO 99/47525, published on September 23, 1999, US equivalent 6,410,480). Muhlebach teaches the compounds, compositions and methods of use of the instant invention (see compounds in table 1, cols. 55-61).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muhlebach et al. (WO 99/47525). The reference teaches a generic group of compounds which embraces applicant's instantly claimed compounds. See formula I wherein R4 and R5 together form a heterocyclic ring, R1, R2, and R3 can be alkyl, haloalkyl, alkoxy, alkoxycarbonyl, etc. The compounds are taught to be useful as herbicides. The claims differ from the reference by reciting a specific species and/or a more limited genus than the reference. However, it would have nevertheless been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the specie of the genus would have similar properties and, thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole. One of

Application/Control Number: 10/070,767

Art Unit: 1624

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. It has been held that a prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus. See In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423, 425 (CCPA 1971), followed by the Federal Circuit in Merck & Co. V. Biocraft Laboratories, 847 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ 2d 1843, 1846 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer et al. (WO 96/25395). Fischer et al. teach a generic group of 1,3-ketonol derivatives (See formula I), wherein Het represents formulas (1)-(6) which corresponds to Q2, Q3 Q4, Q8 of the present application. Compounds of formula (I-1-b) on page 113 differ from the instant compounds only in the nature of substituent Y. Y is cyano in the reference whereas the corresponding functional group in the instant invention is methyl. However, the compounds of the instant invention are generically embraced by Fischer in view of the equivalence of cyano and alkyl in the definition of Y page 3. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. It has been held that a prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: some words in the Clean Copy of the Revised Claims are missing probably because of the poor quality

Art Unit: 1624

of the fax copy. For example, , there is a space between "h" and "teroaryl" in the last word on page 3 of the fax and on page 4, first line, letter "e" is missing for the word "independent". Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Hong Liu whose telephone number is (571) 272-0669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Mukund Shah can be reached at (571) 272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 358-1235.

MUKUNARDI HAYMUNU MUKUNARS BAMINER

Supervisely Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1624